

ON MONOCHROMATIC ASCENDING WAVES

Tim LeSaulnier¹

and

Aaron Robertson

Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, Hamilton, NY 13346

aaron@math.colgate.edu

Abstract

A sequence of positive integers $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ is called an ascending wave if $w_{i+1} - w_i \geq w_i - w_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. For integers $k, r \geq 1$, let $AW(k; r)$ be the least positive integer such that under any r -coloring of $[1, AW(k; r)]$ there exists a k -term monochromatic ascending wave. The existence of $AW(k; r)$ is guaranteed by van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions since an arithmetic progression is, itself, an ascending wave. Originally, Brown, Erdős, and Freedman defined such sequences and proved that $k^2 - k + 1 \leq AW(k; 2) \leq \frac{1}{3}(k^3 - 4k + 9)$. Alon and Spencer then showed that $AW(k; 2) = O(k^3)$. In this article, we show that $AW(k; 3) = O(k^5)$ as well as offer a proof of the existence of $AW(k; r)$ independent of van der Waerden's theorem. Furthermore, we prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{k^{2r-1-\epsilon}}{2^{r-1}(40r)^{r^2-1}}(1+o(1)) \leq AW(k; r) \leq \frac{k^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!}(1+o(1))$$

holds for all $r \geq 1$, which, in particular, improves upon the best known upper bound for $AW(k; 2)$. Additionally, we show that for fixed $k \geq 3$,

$$AW(k; r) \leq \frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} r^{k-1}(1+o(1)).$$

0. Introduction

A sequence of positive integers $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ is called an *ascending wave* if $w_{i+1} - w_i \geq w_i - w_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. For $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $AW(k; r)$ be the least positive integer such that under any r -coloring of $[1, AW(k; r)]$ there exists a k -term monochromatic ascending wave. Although guaranteed by van der Waerden's theorem, the existence of $AW(k; r)$ can be guaranteed independently, as we will show.

Bounds on $AW(k; 2)$ have appeared in the literature. Brown, Erdős, and Freedman [2] showed that for all $k \geq 1$,

$$k^2 - k + 1 \leq AW(k; 2) \leq \frac{k^3}{3} - \frac{4k}{3} + 3.$$

¹This work was done as part of a high honor thesis in mathematics while the first author was an undergraduate at Colgate University, under the directorship of the second author.

Soon after, Alon and Spencer [1] showed that for sufficiently large k ,

$$AW(k; 2) > \frac{k^3}{10^{21}} - \frac{k^2}{10^{20}} - \frac{k}{10} + 4.$$

Recently, Landman and Robertson [4] proposed the refinement of the bounds on $AW(k; 2)$ and the study of $AW(k; r)$ for $r \geq 3$. Here, we offer bounds on $AW(k; r)$ for all $r \geq 1$, improving upon the previous upper bound for $AW(k; 2)$.

1. An Upper Bound

To show that $AW(k; r) \leq O(k^{2r-1})$ is straightforward. We will first show that $AW(k; r) \leq k^{2r-1}$ by induction on r (and hence prove the existence of $AW(k; r)$ without appealing to van der Waerden's theorem.) The case $r = 1$ is trivial, thus, for $r \geq 2$, assume $AW(k; r - 1) \leq k^{2r-3}$ and consider any r -coloring of $[1, k^{2r-1}]$. Set $w_1 = 1$ and let the color of 1 be red. In order to avoid a k -term monochromatic ascending wave there must exist an integer $w_2 \in [2, k^{2r-3} + 1]$ that is colored red, lest the inductive hypothesis guarantee a k -term monochromatic ascending wave of some color other than red (and we are done). Similarly, there must be an integer $w_3 \in [w_2 + (w_2 - w_1), w_2 + (w_2 - w_1) + k^{2r-3} - 1]$ that is colored red to avoid a monochromatic k -term ascending wave. Iterating this argument defines a monochromatic (red) k -term ascending wave $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$, provided that $w_k \leq k^{2r-1}$. Since for $i \geq 2$, $w_{i+1} \leq w_i + (w_i - w_{i-1}) + k^{2r-3}$ we see that $w_{i+1} - w_i \leq i k^{2r-3}$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence, $w_k - w_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (w_{i+1} - w_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i k^{2r-3} \leq k^{2r-1} - 1$ and we are done.

In this section we provide a better upper bound. Our main theorem in this section follows.

Theorem 1 For fixed $r \geq 1$,

$$AW(k; r) \leq \frac{k^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} (1 + o(1)).$$

We will prove Theorem 1 via a series of lemmas, but first we introduce some pertinent notation.

Notation For $k \geq 2$ and $M \geq AW(k; r)$, let $\Psi^M(k; r)$ be the collection of all r -colorings of $[1, M]$. For $\psi \in \Psi^M(k; r)$, let $\chi_k(\psi)$ be the set of all monochromatic k -term ascending waves under ψ . For each monochromatic k -term ascending wave $w = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \in \chi_k(\psi)$, define the i^{th} difference, $d_i(w) = w_{i+1} - w_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. For $\psi \in \Psi^M(k; r)$, define

$$\delta_k(\psi) = \min\{d_{k-1}(w) | w \in \chi_k(\psi)\},$$

i.e., the minimum last difference over all monochromatic k -term ascending waves under ψ . Lastly, define

$$\Delta^M(k; r) = \max\{\delta_k(\psi) | \psi \in \Psi^M(k; r)\}.$$

These concepts will provide us with the necessary tools to prove Theorem 1.

We begin with a recursive bound on $AW(k; r)$, which also proves the existence of $AW(k; r)$ without appealing to van der Waerden's theorem on arithmetic progressions.

Lemma 1.1 For $k, r \geq 1$, let $M(k; 1) = k$, $M(1; r) = 1$, $M(2; r) = r + 1$, and define, for $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$,

$$M(k; r) = M(k-1; r) + \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1.$$

Then, for all $k, r \geq 1$, $AW(k; r) \leq M(k; r)$.

Proof. Noting that the cases $k + r = 2, 3$, and 4 are, by definition, true, we proceed by induction on $k + r$ using $k + r = 5$ as our basis. We have $M(3; 2) = 7$. An easy calculation shows that $AW(3; 2) = 7$. So, for some $n \geq 5$, we assume Lemma 1.1 holds for all $k, r \geq 1$ such that $k + r = n$. Now, consider $k + r = n + 1$. The result is trivial when $k = 1$ or 2 , or if $r = 1$, thus we may assume $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$. Let ψ be an r -coloring of $[1, M(k; r)]$. We will show that ψ admits a monochromatic k -term ascending wave, thereby proving Lemma 1.1.

By the inductive hypothesis, under ψ there must be a monochromatic $(k-1)$ -term ascending wave $w = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\} \subseteq [1, M(k-1; r)]$ with $d_{k-2}(w) \leq \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r)$. Let

$$N = [w_{k-1} + \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r), w_{k-1} + \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1].$$

If there exists $q \in N$ colored identically to w , then $w \cup \{q\}$ is a monochromatic k -term ascending wave, since $q - w_{k-1} \geq \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) \geq d_{k-2}(w)$. If there is no such $q \in N$, then N contains integers of at most $r-1$ colors. Thus, since $|N| = M(k; r-1)$, the inductive hypothesis guarantees that we have a monochromatic k -term ascending wave in N . As

$$w_{k-1} + \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1 \leq M(k; r),$$

this completes the proof. □

Corollary 1.2 Let $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$. Let $M(k; r)$ be as in Lemma 1.1. Then

$$\Delta^{M(k; r)}(k; r) \leq \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1.$$

Proof. Let ψ , w , and N be as defined in the proof of Lemma 1.1. If there exists $q \in N$ colored identically to w , then

$$\delta_k(\psi) \leq d_{k-1}(w \cup \{q\}) \leq \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1.$$

If there is no such $q \in N$, then there exists a monochromatic k -term ascending wave, say v , in N . Hence, $\delta_k(\psi) \leq d_{k-1}(v) \leq M(k; r-1) - (k-1)$. Since ψ was chosen arbitrarily, it follows that

$$\Delta^{M(k; r)}(k; r) \leq \Delta^{M(k-1; r)}(k-1; r) + M(k; r-1) - 1.$$

□

Now, as it is easily seen that $\Delta^{M(2;r)}(2;r) = r$ for all $r \geq 1$, Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 can be iterated to provide bounds on $M(k;r)$ for any $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$. The following lemma will provide a means for recursively bounding $M(k;r)$, and thus $AW(k;r)$, by a function of k for any $r \geq 2$.

Lemma 1.3 Let $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$. Let $M(k;r)$ be as in Lemma 1.1. Then

$$M(k;r) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} ((i+1)M(k-i;r-1)) - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2} + (k-1)r$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . Consider $M(3;r)$. We have

$$M(3;r) = M(2;r) + \Delta^{M(2;r)}(2;r) + M(3;r-1) - 1.$$

Since $M(2;r) = r+1$ and $\Delta^{M(2;r)}(2;r) = r$, we have

$$M(3;r) = M(3;r-1) + 2r = M(3;r-1) - \frac{3^2}{2} + \frac{3(3)}{2} + 2r,$$

thereby finishing the case $k=3$ and arbitrary r . Now assume that Lemma 1.3 holds for some $k \geq 3$. Lemma 1.1, the inductive hypothesis, and Corollary 1.2 give us

$$\begin{aligned} M(k+1;r) &= M(k;r) + \Delta^{M(k;r)}(k;r) + M(k+1;r-1) - 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} ((i+1)M(k-i;r-1)) - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2} + (k-1)r \\ &\quad + \Delta^{M(k;r)}(k;r) + M(k+1;r-1) - 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} ((i+1)M(k-i;r-1)) - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2} + (k-1)r \\ &\quad + \Delta^{M(2;r)}(2;r) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} M(k-i;r-1) \\ &\quad + M(k+1;r-1) - (k-2) - 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} ((i+1)M(k+1-i;r-1)) - \frac{(k+1)^2}{2} + \frac{3(k+1)}{2} + kr \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Now, for $r \geq 2$, an upper bound on $M(k;r)$, and thus on $AW(k;r)$, can be obtained by iterating Lemma 1.3. We offer one additional lemma, from which Theorem 1 will follow by application of Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.4 For $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$, there exists a polynomial $p_r(k)$ of degree at most $2r-2$ such that

$$M(k;r) \leq \frac{k^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} + p_r(k).$$

Proof. We have $M(k;1) = k$, so we can take $p_1(k) = 1$, having degree 0. We proceed by induction on r . Assume Lemma 1.4 holds for some $r \geq 1$ so that $M(k;r) \leq \frac{k^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} + p_r(k)$,

where $p_r(k)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2r - 2$. Lemma 1.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} M(k; r+1) &\leq \sum_{j=3}^k ((k-j+1)M(j; r)) - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2} + (k-1)(r+1) \\ &\leq k \sum_{j=3}^k \left(\frac{j^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} + p_r(j) \right) - \sum_{j=3}^k \left((j-1) \left(\frac{j^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} + p_r(j) \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2} + (k-1)(r+1). \end{aligned}$$

By Faulhaber's formula [3], for some polynomial $p_{r+1}(k)$ of degree at most $2r$, we now have

$$M(k; r+1) \leq k \frac{\frac{k^{2r}}{2r}}{(2r-1)!} - \frac{\frac{k^{2r+1}}{2r+1}}{(2r-1)!} + p_{r+1}(k) = \frac{k^{2r+1}}{(2r+1)!} + p_{r+1}(k)$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

As $AW(k; r) \leq M(k; r)$, Theorem 1 now follows, giving that for fixed $r \geq 1$,

$$AW(k; r) \leq \frac{k^{2r-1}}{(2r-1)!} (1 + o(1)).$$

Interestingly, Lemma 1.3 can also be used to show the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5 For fixed $k \geq 3$,

$$AW(k; r) \leq \frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} r^{k-1} (1 + o(1)).$$

Proof. In analogy to Lemma 1.4, we show that for $k \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$, there exists a polynomial $s_k(r)$ of degree at most $k - 2$ such that

$$M(k; r) \leq \frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} r^{k-1} + s_k(r).$$

We proceed by induction on k . Let $r \geq 2$ be arbitrary. Lemma 1.3 gives that

$$M(3; r) = M(3; r-1) + 2r.$$

As $M(3; 1) = 3$, we now have a recursive definition of $M(3; r)$ for all $r \geq 2$. We get, for $r \geq 2$,

$$M(3; r) = M(3; 1) + \sum_{i=2}^r 2i = r^2 + r + 1,$$

which serves as our basis. Now, for given $k \geq 4$, let $\hat{s}_3(r) = (k-1)r - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{3k}{2}$ and assume Corollary 1.5 holds for all integers $3 \leq j \leq k-1$ and for all $r \geq 2$. Lemma 1.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} M(k; r) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} ((i+1)M(k-i; r-1)) + \hat{s}_3(r) \\ &= M(k; r-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} ((i+1)M(k-i; r-1)) + \hat{s}_3(r). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the inductive hypothesis, for $1 \leq i \leq k-3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M(k-i; r-1) &\leq \frac{2^{k-i-2}}{(k-i-1)!} (r-1)^{k-i-1} + s_{k-i}(r-1) \\ &= \frac{2^{k-i-2}}{(k-i-1)!} r^{k-i-1} + \tilde{s}_{k-i}(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{s}_{k-i}(r)$ is polynomial of degree at most $k-i-2 \leq k-3$. This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} (i+1)M(k-i; r-1) + \hat{s}_3(r) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} \left((i+1) \left(\frac{2^{k-i-2}}{(k-i-1)!} r^{k-i-1} + \tilde{s}_{k-i}(r) \right) \right) + \hat{s}_3(r) \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}}{(k-2)!} r^{k-2} + \check{s}_{k-1}(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{s}_{k-1}(r)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-3$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(k; r) &\leq M(k; r-1) + 2 \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}}{(k-2)!} r^{k-2} + \check{s}_{k-1}(r) \\ &= M(k; r-1) + \frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} r^{k-2} + \check{s}_{k-1}(r). \end{aligned}$$

As $M(k; 1) = k$, we have a recursive bound on $M(k; r)$ for $r \geq 2$. Faulhaber's formula yields

$$M(k; r) \leq M(k; 1) + \sum_{i=2}^r \left(\frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} i^{k-2} + \check{s}_{k-1}(r) \right) \leq \frac{2^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} r^{k-1} + s_k(r),$$

where $s_k(r)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-2$. By Lemma 1.1, the result follows. $\square$

2. A Lower Bound for more than Three Colors

We now provide a lower bound on $AW(k; r)$ for arbitrary fixed $r \geq 1$. We generalize an argument of Alon and Spencer [1] to provide our lower bound.

We will use $\log(x) = \log_2(x)$ throughout. Also, by $k = x$ for $x \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ we mean $k = \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Theorem 2 For fixed $r \geq 1$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, for k sufficiently large,

$$AW(k; r) \geq \frac{k^{2r-1-\epsilon}}{2^{r-1}(40r)^{r^2-1}}.$$

The result is trivial for $r = 1$ (since $AW(k; 1) = k$). We will assume that for $r \geq 2$ the inequality holds for $r-1$ and show that it holds for r .

We proceed by defining a certain type of random coloring. To this end, consider the $r \times 2r$ matrix $A_0 = (a_{ij})$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & (r-1) & (r-1) \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & \dots & (r-1) & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & \dots & (r-1) & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & (r-1) & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & \dots & (r-1) & (r-2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where, for $j \in [0, r-1]$, we have $a_{i,(2j+1)} = j$, for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $a_{i,2j+2} = i+j-1 \pmod{r}$.

Next, we define $A_j = A_0 \oplus \mathbf{j}$ where $\oplus$ means addition modulo r and $\mathbf{j}$ is the $r \times 2r$ matrix with all entries equal to j .

Consider the $r^2 \times 2r$ matrix $A = [A_0 \ A_1 \ A_2 \ \dots \ A_{r-1}]^t$.

In the sequel, we will use the following notation.

Notation For $r \geq 1$, let

$$N_r = \frac{1}{2^{r-1}(40r)^{r^2-1}}.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let

$$b = AW \left(\frac{k}{10(4r-4)}; r-1 \right) - 1,$$

so that by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$b > N_{r-1} \left(\frac{k}{10(4r-4)} \right)^{2r-3-\epsilon/2}$$

for k sufficiently large. Using the colors $0, 1, \dots, r-1$, let γ_i be an $(r-1)$ -coloring of b consecutive integers with no monochromatic $\frac{k}{10(4r-4)}$ -term ascending wave, where the $r-1$ colors used are $\{0, 1, \dots, i-1, i+1, i+2, \dots, r-1\}$ (i.e., color i is not used, and hence the subscript on γ).

We next describe how we randomly r -color $[1, M_\epsilon]$, where

$$M_\epsilon = N_r k^{2r-1-\epsilon}.$$

We partition the interval $[1, M_\epsilon]$ into consecutive intervals of length b and denote the i^{th} such interval by B_i and call it a *block* (note that the last block may be a partial block). Let C_i be the $2r$ consecutive blocks $B_{2r(i-1)+1}, B_{2r(i-1)+2}, \dots, B_{2ri}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{M_\epsilon}{2rb} \rceil$. For each C_i , we randomly choose a row in A , say $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{2r})$. We color the j^{th} block of C_i by γ_{s_j} . By $\text{col}(B_i)$ we mean the coloring of the i^{th} block, $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{M_\epsilon}{b} \rceil$, which is one of $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r-1}$.

The following is immediate by construction.

Lemma 2.1

- (i) For all $1 \leq i \leq 2rb$, $P(\text{col}(B_i) = \gamma_c) = \frac{1}{r}$ for each $c = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$.
- (ii) For any i , $P(\text{col}(B_i) = \gamma_c \text{ and } \text{col}(B_{i+1}) = \gamma_d) = \frac{1}{r^2}$ for any c and d .
- (iii) The colorings of blocks whose pairwise distances are at least $2r$ are mutually independent.

The approach we take, following Alon and Spencer [1], is to show that there exists a coloring such that for any monochromatic $\frac{k}{2}$ -term ascending wave $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k/2}$ we have $w_{k/2} - w_{k/2-1} \geq O(k^{2r-2-\epsilon/2})$. The following definition and lemma, which are generalizations of those found in [1], will give us the desired result.

Definition An arithmetic progression $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_t$ is called a *good progression* if for each $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, there exists $x_i \in B_j$ such that $\text{col}(B_j) = \text{col}(B_{j+1}) = \gamma_c$. An arithmetic progression that is not good is called a *bad progression*.

Lemma 2.2 For $k, r \geq 2$, let $t = \frac{(4r-2)(2r+1)}{\log(r^2/(r^2-1))} \log(k) + \frac{(2r+1)(\log(r)+1)}{\log(r^2/(r^2-1))}$. For k sufficiently large, the probability that there is a bad progression in a random coloring of $[1, M_\epsilon]$ with difference greater than b of t terms is at most $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_t$ be a bad progression with $x_2 - x_1 > b$. Then no 2 elements belong to the same block. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2r+1}$, let C_i be the block in which $x_{(2r+1)i}$ resides, and let D_i be the consecutive block. Then, the probability that the progression is bad is at most

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^r P\left(\nexists i \in \left[1, \frac{t}{2r+1}\right] : \text{Col}(C_i) = \text{Col}(D_i) = \gamma_j\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} p &\leq rP\left(\nexists i \in \left[1, \frac{t}{2r+1}\right] : \text{Col}(C_i) = \text{Col}(D_i) = \gamma_0\right) \\ &= r\left(\frac{r^2-1}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{t}{2r+1}} \\ &\leq r\left(\frac{r^2-1}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{(4r-2)}{\log(r^2/(r^2-1))} \log(k) + \frac{\log(r)+1}{\log(r^2/(r^2-1))}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{-1}}{k^{4r-2}} \end{aligned}$$

for k sufficiently large.

Since the number of t -term arithmetic progressions in $[1, M_\epsilon]$ is less than $M_\epsilon^2 < k^{4r-2}$, the probability that there is a bad progression is less than

$$k^{4r-2} \cdot \frac{2^{-1}}{k^{4r-2}} = \frac{1}{2},$$

thereby completing the proof. □

Lemma 2.3 Let C be an r -coloring of $[1, M_\epsilon]$ having no bad progression with difference greater than b of t terms (t from Lemma 2.2). For any $\epsilon > 0$, for k sufficiently large, any monochromatic $\frac{k}{2}$ -term ascending wave $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k/2}$ has $w_{k/2} - w_{k/2-1} \geq bk^{1-\epsilon/2} = O(k^{2r-2-\epsilon/2})$.

Proof. At most $4r-4$ consecutive blocks can have a specific color in all of them. (To achieve this say the color is 0. The random coloring must have chosen row 1 followed by row $r+1$, to have $\gamma_0\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{r-1}\gamma_{r-1}\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2 \dots \gamma_0\gamma_0$.) Since each block has a monochromatic

ascending wave of length at most $\frac{k}{10(4r-4)} - 1$, any $4r - 4$ consecutive blocks contribute less than $\frac{k}{10}$ terms to a monochromatic ascending wave. After that, the next difference must be more than b .

Let the monochromatic ascending wave be $A = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k/2}$. Then, there exists $i < \frac{k}{10}$ such that $a_{i+1} - a_i \geq b + 1$. Now let $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$ be a t -term good progression with $x_1 = a_i$ and $d = x_2 - x_1 = a_{i+1} - a_i \geq b + 1$.

Assume, without loss of generality, that the color of A is 0. Since X is a good progression, there exists $x_j \in B_\ell$ with $Col(B_\ell) = Col(B_{\ell+1}) = \gamma_0$ for some block B_ℓ . Since $a_{i+j} \geq x_j$ as A is an ascending wave, we see that $a_{i+j} - a_i \geq jd + b + 1$. We conclude that $a_{i+t} - a_i \geq td + b + 1$ so that, since A is an ascending wave, $a_{i+t+1} - a_{i+t} \geq d + \frac{b+1}{t}$. Now, let $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t$ be the t -term good progression with $x_1 = a_{i+t}$ and $d' = x_2 - x_1 = a_{i+t+1} - a_{i+t} \geq d + \frac{b+1}{t} \geq (b+1)\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right)$. Repeating the above argument, we see that $a_{i+2t} - a_{i+t} \geq td' + b + 1$ so that $a_{i+2t} - a_{i+2t-1} \geq d' + \frac{b+1}{t} \geq (b+1)\left(1 + \frac{2}{t}\right)$. In general,

$$a_{i+st} - a_{i+st-1} \geq (b+1)\left(1 + \frac{s}{t}\right)$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{2k-5t}{5t}$. Thus, we have (with $s = (k^{1-\epsilon/2} - 1)t \leq \frac{2k-5t}{5t}$ for k sufficiently large)

$$a_{k/2} - a_{k/2-1} \geq (b+1)\left(1 + \frac{(k^{1-\epsilon/2} - 1)t}{t}\right) = (b+1)k^{1-\epsilon/2}.$$

□

Using Lemma 2.3, there exists an r -coloring of $[1, M_\epsilon]$ such that any $\frac{k}{2}$ -term monochromatic ascending wave has last difference at least $(b+1)k^{1-\epsilon/2}$. This implies that the last term of any k -term monochromatic ascending wave must be at least $\frac{k}{2} + (b+1)k^{1-\epsilon/2} \cdot \frac{k}{2} > \frac{1}{2}(b+1)k^{2-\epsilon/2}$.

We have

$$b+1 \geq N_{r-1} \frac{1}{40^{2r-3-\epsilon/2}(r-1)^{2r-3-\epsilon/2}} k^{2r-3-\epsilon/2} \geq N_{r-1} \frac{k^{2r-3-\epsilon/2}}{40^{2r-1} r^{2r-1}}.$$

Hence, for k sufficiently large, the last term of any monochromatic k -term ascending wave must be greater than

$$N_{r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{40^{2r-1} r^{2r-1}} k^{2r-3-\epsilon/2} \cdot \frac{k^{2-\epsilon/2}}{2} = N_r k^{2r-1-\epsilon} = M_\epsilon.$$

Hence, we have an r -coloring of $[1, M_\epsilon]$ with no k -term monochromatic ascending wave, for k sufficiently large, thereby proving Theorem 2.

3. A Lower Bound for Three Colors

We believe that $AW(k; r) = O(k^{2r-1})$, however, we have thus far been unable to prove this. The approach of Alon and Spencer [1], which is to show that there exists an r -coloring

(under a random coloring scheme) such that every monochromatic $\frac{3k}{4}$ -term ascending wave has $d_{3k/4-1} > ck^{2r-2}$ does not work for an arbitrary number of colors with our generalization. However, for 3 colors, we can refine their argument to prove that $AW(k; 3) = O(k^5)$.

Theorem 3

$$\frac{k^5}{2^{13} \cdot 10^{39}} \leq AW(k; 3) \leq \frac{k^5}{120}(1 + o(1))$$

The upper bound comes from Theorem 1, hence we need only prove the lower bound. We use the same coloring scheme as in Section 2 and proceed with a series of lemmas.

Let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k/2}$ be a $\frac{k}{2}$ -term ascending wave. From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, there exist (many) colorings such that for k sufficiently large, $w_{k/2} - w_{k/2-1} > 6b$ ($= 2rb$). Before proving Theorem 3, we introduce the following definition.

Definition We call a sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ with $x_2 - x_1 \geq 1$ an *almost ascending wave* if, for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $d_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$ with $d_i \geq d_{i-1} - 1$, with equality for at least one such i and with the property that if $d_i = d_{i-1} - 1$ and $d_j = d_{j-1} - 1$ with $j > i$ there must exist s , $i < s < j$, such that $d_s \geq d_{s-1} + 1$.

The upper bound of the following proposition is a slight refinement of a result of Alon and Spencer [1, Lemma 1.7].

Proposition 3.1 Denote by $aw(n)$ the number of ascending waves of length n with first term given and $d_{n-1} < \frac{n}{10^{14}}$. Analogously, let $aaw(n)$ be the number of almost ascending waves of length n with first term given and $d_{n-1} \leq \frac{n}{10^{14}}$. Then, for all n sufficiently large,

$$2^{\frac{n}{2}-1} < aw(n) + aaw(n) \leq 2^{\frac{13n}{25}} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n/100}.$$

Proof. We start with the lower bound. We start by constructing a sequence where all of $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ slots contain 2 terms of a sequence. From a list of $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ slots, choose j , $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, of them. In these slots place the pair $-1, 1$. In the remaining slots put the pair $0, 0$. We now have a sequence of length $n - 2$ or $n - 3$. If the length is $n - 2$, put a 2 at the end; if the length is $n - 3$, put 2, 2 at the end. We now have, for each j and each choice of j slots, a distinct sequence of length $n - 1$. Denote one such sequence by $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}$. Now, let $d_1 = 1$ and $d_i = d_{i-1} + s_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Since we have the first term of an almost ascending, or ascending, wave $w_1, \dots, w_n$ given, such a wave is determined by its sequence of differences $w_{i+1} - w_i$. Above, we have constructed a sequence $\{d_i\}$ of differences that adhere to the rules of an almost ascending, or ascending, wave. Hence, $aw(n; r) + aaw(n; r) > \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{j} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$.

For the upper bound, we follow the proof of Alon and Spencer [1, Lemma 1.7], improving the bound enough to serve our purpose. Their lemma includes the term $\binom{n + \lceil 10^{-6}n \rceil - 1}{n-1}$ which we will work on to refine their upper bound on $aw(n) + aaw(n)$.

First, we have

$$\binom{n + \lceil 10^{-6}n \rceil - 1}{n-1} \leq \binom{(1 + 10^{-5})n}{n}$$

for n sufficiently large.

Let $q = (1 + 10^{-5})^{-1}$, $m = \frac{n}{q}$ and let $H(x) = -x \log(x) - (1-x) \log(1-x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ be the binary entropy function. Then we have²

$$\binom{m}{qm} \leq 2^{mH(q)}.$$

Applying this, we have

$$H(q) = \frac{1}{(1+10^{-5})} \log((1 + 10^{-5})) - \left(\frac{10^{-5}}{(1+10^{-5})} \right) \log \left(\frac{10^{-5}}{(1+10^{-5})} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} mH(q) &= \left[\log(1 + 10^{-5}) - \frac{1}{10^5} \log \left(\frac{10^{-5}}{1+10^{-5}} \right) \right] n \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{10^5} \log \left(10^5(1 + 10^{-5})^{10^5+1} \right) \right] n \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{10^5} \log(e(10^5 + 1)) \right] n. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed by noting that

$$\left\lceil \frac{\log(e(10^5 + 1))}{10^5} \right\rceil n \leq \left\lceil \frac{1}{100} \log \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \right\rceil n.$$

Hence, $2^{mH(q)} \leq 2^{\frac{n}{100} \log(\frac{3}{2})} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{100}}$. Now, using Alon and Spencer's result [1, Lemma 1.7], the result follows. $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the fundamental lemma of this section. In the proof we refer to the following definition.

Definition Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ be an ascending wave and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We call $\lfloor \frac{a_1}{b} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{a_2}{b} \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor \frac{a_n}{b} \rfloor$ the associated b -floor wave.

Lemma 3.2 Let

$$Q = \frac{k^5}{2^{13} \cdot 10^{39}}.$$

The probability that in a random 3-coloring of $[1, Q]$ there is a monochromatic ascending wave of length $\frac{k}{4}$ whose first difference is greater than $6b$ ($= 2rb$) and whose last difference is smaller than $\frac{kb}{4 \cdot 10^{14}} = O(k^4)$ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$ for k sufficiently large.

²Here's a quick derivation: For all $n \geq 1$, we have $\sqrt{2\pi n} e^{1/(12n+1)} (n/e)^n \leq n! \leq \sqrt{2\pi n} e^{1/(12n)} (n/e)^n$ (see [5]). Hence, $\binom{m}{qm} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{m(1-q)}} (q^{-q}(1-q)^{-(1-q)})^m$ for some positive $c < e^{-2}$ (so that $\frac{c}{\sqrt{m(1-q)}} < 1$ for m sufficiently large). Using the base 2 log, this gives $\binom{m}{qm} \leq 2^{mH(q)}$.

Proof. Let $A = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{k/4}$ be an ascending wave and let $\lfloor \frac{a_1}{b} \rfloor < \lfloor \frac{a_2}{b} \rfloor < \dots < \lfloor \frac{a_{k/4}}{b} \rfloor$ be the associated b -floor wave. Note that this b -floor wave is either an ascending wave or an almost ascending wave with last difference at most $\frac{k/4}{10^{14}}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1, the number of such b -floor waves is at most, for k sufficiently large,

$$k^2 \cdot 2^{\frac{13k}{100}} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k/400} \leq 2^{\frac{14k}{100}} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k/400}$$

(we have less than k^2 choices for $\lfloor \frac{a_1}{b} \rfloor$).

Note that A is monochromatic of color, say c , only if none of the blocks $B_{\lfloor \frac{a_i}{b} \rfloor}$, $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{4}$, is colored by γ_c . Note that all of these blocks are at least $6(= 2r)$ blocks from each other. We use Lemma 2.1 to give us that the probability that A is monochromatic is no more than

$$3 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k/4}.$$

Thus, the probability that in a random 3-coloring of $[1, Q]$ we have a monochromatic ascending wave with last difference less than $\frac{kb}{4 \cdot 10^{14}}$ is at most

$$3 \cdot 2^{\frac{14k}{100}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{99k/400}.$$

We have $3 < \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{3k/400}$ for k sufficiently large, so that the above probability is less than

$$2^{\frac{14k}{100}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{24k/100}.$$

The above quantity is, in particular, less than $1/2$ for k sufficiently large. $\square$

To finish proving Theorem 3, we apply Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 3.2 to show that, for k sufficiently large, there exists a 3-coloring of $[1, Q]$ such that both of the following hold:

- 1) Any $\frac{k}{2}$ -term monochromatic ascending wave has last difference greater than $6b(= 2rb)$.
- 2) Any $\frac{k}{4}$ -term monochromatic ascending wave with first difference greater than $6b(= 2rb)$ has last difference greater than $\frac{kb}{4 \cdot 10^{14}}$.

Hence, we conclude that there is a 3-coloring of $[1, Q]$ such that any $\frac{3k}{4}$ -term monochromatic ascending wave has last difference greater than $\frac{kb}{4 \cdot 10^{14}}$, for k sufficiently large. This implies that the last term of such a monochromatic ascending wave must be at least $\frac{3k}{4} + \frac{kb}{4 \cdot 10^{14}} \cdot \frac{k}{4}$.

We have $b = AW\left(\frac{k}{10(4r-4)}; r-1\right) - 1$ with $r = 3$. By Alon and Spencer's result [1], this gives us

$$b \geq \frac{k^3}{10^{25} \cdot 8^3}$$

for k sufficiently large.

Hence, for k sufficiently large, the last term of an ascending wave must be at least

$$\frac{3k}{4} + \frac{k^2}{4^2 \cdot 10^{14}} \cdot \frac{k^3}{10^{25} \cdot 8^3} > \frac{k^5}{2^{13} \cdot 10^{39}} = Q.$$

Since we have the existence of a 3-coloring of $[1, Q]$ with no monochromatic k -term ascending wave, this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark From the lower bound given in Proposition 3.1, it is not possible to show that $AW(k; r) \geq O(k^{2r-1})$ for $r \geq 4$, which we believe is correct, by using the argument presented in Sections 2 and 3.

References

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, Ascending waves, *J. Combin. Theory, Series A* **52** (1989), 275-287.
- [2] T. Brown, P. Erdős, and A. Freedman, Quasi-progressions and descending waves, *J. Combin. Theory Series A* **53** (1990), 81-95.
- [3] J. Conway and R. Guy, The Book of Numbers, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, p. 106, 1996.
- [4] B. Landman and A. Robertson, Ramsey Theory on the Integers, *American Math. Society*, Providence, RI, 317pp., 2003.
- [5] H. Robbins, A remark on Stirling's formula, *American Math. Monthly* **62** (1955), 26-29.